

Garden Grove Unified School District

10331 Stanford Avenue Garden Grove, CA 92840 • www.ggusd.us Gabriela Mafi, Ed.D., Superintendent • gmafi@ggusd.us • (714) 663-6000

SARC

2023-24

School Accountability Report Card Published in 2024-25





Eisenhower Elementary School

Grades K-6 CDS Code 30-66522-6028310

Christopher Ash, Principal cash@ggusd.us

13221 Lilly Street Garden Grove, CA 92843 (714) 663-6401

http://eisenhower.ggusd.us



School Description

Eisenhower Elementary School is one of nearly 70 schools in the Garden Grove Unified School District and serves students in grades K-6 from the city of Garden Grove. Strong leadership is essential in a quality school and is provided at Eisenhower Elementary School by Christopher Ash, the principal, a professional educator for 28 years. She was appointed principal of Eisenhower Elementary School in 2023.

School Safety

Maintaining a safe and orderly environment is essential to learning. All schools in the district are closed campuses, and visitors must immediately register in the school office before entering the campus. School access is monitored by administrators, teachers and other school staff.

All schools operate employee safety committees and maintain comprehensive school safety plans that are reviewed and updated annually at the beginning of the school year. Schools had until November 2024 to review and update their plans. The safety plans include elements such as emergency plans and procedures, Department of Homeland Security alert precautions, school rules and school dress codes.

School safety committees, consisting of certificated and classified staff, meet to address safety issues for students and employees. Earthquake safety procedures are distributed to all students and parents at the beginning of the school year. Fire drills are conducted monthly at each elementary school, no fewer than four times per year at each intermediate school and at least twice a year at each high school. Drop drills are held quarterly at each elementary school and at least once each semester at intermediate and high schools. All schools conduct one lockdown exercise each year.

In the spring of the 2023-24 school year, the district introduced and trained school sites and departments on the Standard Response Protocol (SRP), developed by the "I Love U Guys" Foundation. The SRP is a nationally recognized safety program designed to standardize responses to various emergencies, such as lockdowns, evacuations and shelter-in-place scenarios, ensuring a clear, consistent and effective approach for students, staff and first responders.

In the fall of 2024, the plan was implemented and shared with parents and students. To support training and awareness, posters and videos were created and distributed across the district.

Professional Development

GGUSD's professional-development program centers on research-based effective instruction with embedded strategies to scaffold and differentiate for diverse learners. Teachers are supported in their implementation of effective instruction through extensive training and targeted in-class support. The use of student-achievement data also assists in providing clear goals and expectations for planning in-services for teachers and paraprofessionals.

Intensive workshops are conducted in late August during the week before school starts to provide teachers with new knowledge and enhanced skills for the upcoming school year, with an emphasis on the strategies to support language learning in mathematics, English language arts and content areas. Staff-development opportunities are also offered after school and by release time throughout the school year to provide in-class demonstrations as well as opportunities to understand the standards and across content areas. Additionally, one non-student day is set aside each year for staff development for all high school teachers in the district. Teachers collaborate in grade level and course-alike teams throughout the school year to plan lessons, analyze student achievement data and student work to ensure a high quality and rigorous academic program with high student expectations.

New teachers are provided an extensive new teacher training program. Classroom management, the district's base program, report cards, parent-teacher conferences and research-based strategy instruction are just a few of the subjects of training for new teachers.

| Professional Developmer | | Three-Year Data | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
| Number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement | At least four days before the school year and one day during the school year for HS only | At least four days before the school year and one day during the school year for HS only | At least four days before the school year and one day during the school year for HS only |



District Mission Statement

To ensure student success, we will provide a rigorous and supportive academic experience that motivates all learners to meet high expectations.

District Vision Statement

We are committed to preparing all students to be successful and responsible citizens who contribute and thrive in a diverse society.




School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.


Enrollment by Student Group

The total enrollment at the school was 489 students for the 2023-24 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.



Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size, and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size. The number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.




| Number of Classrooms by Size | | | | Three-Year Data | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|-----|-----------------|-----------|--------|------|---------|-----|
| | | 2021-22 | | | 2022-23 | | | 2023-24 | |
| Grade | | | | Numb | er of Stu | ıdents | | | |
| Grade | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| K | 4 | | | | 3 | | | 2 | |
| 1 | | 3 | | | 3 | | 3 | | |
| 2 | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | |
| 3 | | 3 | | | 3 | | | 2 | |
| 4 | | 1 | | | 3 | | | 2 | |
| 5 | 1 | 3 | | | 1 | 1 | | 3 | |
| 6 | | 3 | | | 3 | | | 1 | |

Enrollment by Student Group

| Demographics | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2023-24 School Year | | | | | | | |
| Female 49.30% | | | | | | | |
| Male | 50.70% | | | | | | |
| Non-Binary | 0.00% | | | | | | |
| English learners | 45.60% | | | | | | |
| Foster youth | 0.40% | | | | | | |
| Homeless | 4.10% | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0.00% | | | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 95.10% | | | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 12.90% | | | | | | |

Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2023-24 school year.







Suspensions and Expulsions

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Note: Students are only counted one time, regardless of the number of suspensions.

| Suspensions and Expulsions | | | | | | Three-Ye | ear Data | | |
|----------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|------------------|-------|----------|------------|-------|-------|
| | Eis | Eisenhower ES | | Garden Grove USD | | | California | 1 | |
| | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 |
| Suspension rates | 2.70% | 1.70% | 1.10% | 2.90% | 3.30% | 2.50% | 3.20% | 3.60% | 3.30% |
| Expulsion rates | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.10% | 0.10% | 0.10% |

School Programs

The district receives additional funds for a number of special services and programs. Among the special programs offered at the school are the following:

- Extended Day and Year Programs:
 - ► After School Intervention Classes
 - ► After School English Language Development Classes
 - ► Summer Institutes
- Special Education
- Title I
- Boys and Girls Clubs of Garden Grove After School Education and Safety Program (ASES)



Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

| Suspensions and Expulsions by Studen | t Group | 2023-24 School Year |
|---|------------------|------------------------|
| Student Group | Suspensions Rate | Expulsions Rate |
| All Students | 1.10% | 0.00% |
| Female | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Male | 2.20% | 0.00% |
| Non-Binary | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Asian | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Black or African American | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Filipino | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1.10% | 0.00% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Two or More Races | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| White | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| English Learners | 0.40% | 0.00% |
| Foster Youth | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Homeless | 3.10% | 0.00% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 1.20% | 0.00% |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.00% | 0.00% |





California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

California Physical Fitness Test 2023-24 School Year **Percentage of Students Participating In Each Of The Five Fitness Components** Component 1: Component 2: Component 3: Component 4: Component 5: **Trunk Extensor Abdominal Upper Body** Grade Aerobic Strength and and Strength Strength and **Flexibility** Capacity and Flexibility **Endurance Endurance** 98.72% 98.72% 98.72% 5 97.44% 98.72%

Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

| Chronic Absenteeism by Stud | 2023-24 School Year | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Student Group | Cumulative Enrollment | Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment | Chronic Absenteeism Count | Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| All Students | 538 | 511 | 92 | 18.00% |
| Female | 263 | 250 | 44 | 17.60% |
| Male | 275 | 261 | 48 | 18.40% |
| Non-Binary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Asian | 54 | 51 | 6 | 11.80% |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 456 | 435 | 81 | 18.60% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| White | 12 | * | * | * |
| English Learners | 247 | 238 | 45 | 18.90% |
| Foster Youth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Homeless | 32 | 29 | 11 | 37.90% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 507 | 487 | 88 | 18.10% |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Students with Disabilities | 80 | 80 | 15 | 18.80% |

Parental Involvement

There are many opportunities in the district for parental involvement through participation in parent support organizations, booster clubs, school site councils and district-level advisory committees.

Parents at the school may participate in projects and activities such as Parent Information Nights/Workshops, Gang Reduction Intervention Partnership (GRIP), Greeters, Parent Teacher Organization (PTO), Padres en Accion, School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee and general volunteering.

For more information on how to become involved at the school, please contact Gaby Barajas, PTO president, at (714) 663-6401.



California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard. org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Statewide Assessments

Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- **3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).



CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standard on the CAASPP—California Science Test (CAST) and the California Alternate Assessment for Science (CAA for Science) for grades 5, 8 and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).

| Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard | | | | ird | Two | -Year Data |
|--|----------------------------|--------|----------|--------|------------|------------|
| | Eisenhower ES Garden Grove | | rove USD | Califo | California | |
| Subject | 22-23 | 23-24 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 22-23 | 23-24 |
| Science | 21.88% | 23.08% | 37.69% | 38.27% | 30.29% | 30.73% |

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standards on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics for grades 3-8 and 11.

| Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard | | | | | Two | -Year Data |
|--|---------|---------|-----------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| | Eisenho | ower ES | Garden Grove US | | n Grove USD Califo | |
| Subject | 22-23 | 23-24 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 22-23 | 23-24 |
| English language arts/literacy | 41% | 44% | 58% | 59% | 46% | 47% |
| Mathematics | 34% | 42% | 48% | 48% | 34% | 35% |

CAASPP by Student Group: Science, English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the percentage of students that met or exceeded state standards in science, English language arts/literacy and mathematics for the school by student groups.

The "percentage met or exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.



Students with Disabilities

CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Science (grade 5)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard 2023-24 School Year **Science** Percentage Percentage Percentage Group **Total Enrollment Number Tested Tested Not Tested Met or Exceeded** 78 23.08% All students 78 100.00% 0.00% **Female** 42 42 100.00% 0.00% 28.57% 100.00% 0.00% Male 36 36 16.67% **American Indian or Alaska Native** * * * * * 69.23% **Asian** 13 13 100.00% 0.00% **Black or African American** * * * **Filipino** * * **Hispanic or Latino** 62 62 100.00% 0.00% 12.90% **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** * Two or more races * * * * White * * 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% **English Learners** 31 31 **Foster Youth** Homeless Military Socioeconomically disadvantaged 75 75 100.00% 0.00% 22.67% **Students receiving Migrant Education services**

13

13





0.00%

7.69%

100.00%

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.




CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grades 3-6)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard

2023-24 School Year

| English Language Arts | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percentage Tested | Percentage Not Tested | Percentage Met or Exceeded |
| All students | 266 | 263 | 98.87% | 1.13% | 44.49% |
| Female | 126 | 126 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 44.44% |
| Male | 140 | 137 | 97.86% | 2.14% | 44.53% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | 30 | 30 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 80.00% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | * |
| Filipino | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 222 | 219 | 98.65% | 1.35% | 40.18% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * |
| Two or more races | * | * | * | * | * |
| White | * | * | * | * | * |
| English Learners | 105 | 103 | 98.10% | 1.90% | 24.27% |
| Foster Youth | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless | * | * | * | * | * |
| Military | * | * | * | * | * |
| Socioeconomically disadvantaged | 250 | 249 | 99.60% | 0.40% | 43.37% |
| Students receiving Migrant Education services | * | * | * | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | 37 | 37 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 21.62% |

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.







CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grades 3-6)


Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard

2023-24 School Year

| referring of Stadents Weeting of Exceeding State Standard | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Mathematics | | | | | | |
| Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percentage Tested | Percentage Not Tested | Percentage Met or Exceeded | |
| All students | 266 | 265 | 99.62% | 0.38% | 41.89% | |
| Female | 126 | 126 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 37.30% | |
| Male | 140 | 139 | 99.29% | 0.71% | 46.04% | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Asian | 30 | 30 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 80.00% | |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Filipino | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 222 | 221 | 99.55% | 0.45% | 37.56% | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Two or more races | * | * | * | * | * | |
| White | * | * | * | * | * | |
| English Learners | 105 | 105 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 22.86% | |
| Foster Youth | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Homeless | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Military | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Socioeconomically disadvantaged | 250 | 250 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 40.40% | |
| Students receiving Migrant Education services | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Students with Disabilities | 37 | 37 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 18.92% | |

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.





Textbooks and Instructional Materials

District K-12 textbooks in the subjects of English language arts, mathematics, history/social science, health, foreign language, visual and performing arts and science have been adopted from those approved by the State Board of Education. The Garden Grove Unified School District Board of Education has adopted district textbooks in the subjects listed below. K-12 textbooks for mathematics, history/social science, science, English language arts (including reading) and world language are aligned with state-adopted content standards and state or national frameworks following the state adoption schedule.

All GGUSD students, including all English learners, have textbooks and instructional materials, including science laboratory equipment at the 9-12 level, that are current and in good condition in accordance with Education Code requirements. All textbooks and instructional materials are provided in sufficient quantities for each student for use in class and to take home.

| Textbooks and Ins | 2024-25 School Year | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Subject | Textbook | | Adopted | | |
| Reading/language arts | Wonders California, McGraw-Hill (K-6) | Wonders California, McGraw-Hill (K-6) | | | |
| Math | Envision California Common Core, Pearson (K | 2015-16 | | | |
| History/social science | Social Studies Alive!, TCI | Social Studies Alive!, TCI | | | |
| Science | TWIG Science for California (K-6) | TWIG Science for California (K-6) | | | |
| Visual and performing arts | | 1998-99 | | | |
| Health (Positive Action, Life Skills) | | 2004-05 | | | |

Currency of Textbooks

This table displays the date when the most recent hearing was held to adopt a resolution on the sufficiency of instructional materials.

| Currency of Textbooks | 2024-25 School Ye | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Data collection date | | 9/3/2024 |

Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

| Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject | 2024-25 School Year |
|---|---------------------|
| Eisenhower ES | Percentage Lacking |
| Reading/language arts | 0% |
| Mathematics | 0% |
| Science | 0% |
| History/social science | 0% |
| Visual and performing arts | 0% |
| Foreign language | 0% |
| Health | 0% |

Public Internet Access

Individuals without home Internet access can use computers with Internet connections at public libraries within the Garden Grove Unified School District to download and view School Accountability Report Cards. Access to the Internet at libraries is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

See below for library contact information for your community.

Orange County Public Library Tel: (714) 566-3000 www.ocpl.org

Anaheim Public Library Tel: (714) 765-1880 www.anaheim.net/library

Santa Ana Public Library Tel: (714) 647-5250 www.santa-ana.org/library

The Orange County Public Library serves the cities of Cypress, Fountain Valley, Westminster, Garden Grove and Stanton within the GGUSD.

Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

| Quality of Textbooks | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--|--|
| 2024-25 School Year | | | | |
| Criteria | Yes/No | | | |
| Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved or local governing-board-approved list? | Yes | | | |





School Facility Good Repair Status

The table shows the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor. Additional information about the condition of the school's facilities may be obtained by speaking with the principal.

| School Facility Good Repair Status | 2024-2 | 25 School Year | |
|--|-----------|----------------|--|
| Items Inspected | | Repair Status | |
| Systems: Gas leaks, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation | and HVAC) | Good | |
| Interior: Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings) | | Good | |
| Cleanliness: Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness | | Good | |
| Electrical: Electrical systems | | | |
| Restrooms/fountains: Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains | | | |
| Safety: Fire safety, emergency systems, hazardous materials | | | |
| Structural: Structural condition, roofs | | | |
| External: Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school ground | Good | | |
| Overall summary of facility conditions | Exemplary | | |
| Date of the most recent FIT report | | 6/13/2024 | |



School Facilities


Garden Grove Unified School District (GGUSD) continues to pride itself on maintaining quality facilities to provide a safe learning and working environment for its students and staff. School custodial and district maintenance staff conduct frequent inspections to ensure that all sites are clean, safe, well maintained and in good repair. Mobile cleaning teams have been used to provide in-depth cleaning-assistance services. The Maintenance Department is in the process of implementing preventative maintenance programs that ensure all schools are maintained at an efficient operating level. All classrooms, playground areas and working space for students and staff satisfy building capacity requirements of the Education Code.

The Board of Education has adopted a five-year maintenance program for the improvement of school sites, and major site-improvement projects are approved annually as needed. Graffiti-removal personnel help to keep schools free from graffiti.

The Garden Grove Unified School District has always emphasized the importance of a clean, safe environment for learning and working. The environment of each campus is a matter of pride for students, staff and parents. To ensure our facilities are in good repair condition, custodians at each site perform monthly inspections, and the district maintenance crews attempt to complete their work orders in a timely manner. Several schools have planted individual gardens, allowed sponsored projects by both the PTA and the Eagle Scout program with the Boys Scouts of America, to enhance our campuses throughout the district.

Eisenhower Elementary School was built in 1960. The school has 18 permanent classrooms and 21 portable classrooms in use on the campus. The school also has a library, multipurpose room and staff lounge.

In June 2010, GGUSD voters approved Measure A, authorizing \$250 million in general obligation bonds and enabling the district to qualify for as much as \$200 million in matching state school bond funds for large-scale infrastructure repair, improvement and modernization projects. As an added funding bonus, \$47 million in supplemental school-improvement grants were secured, increasing the total projected Measure A budget to \$503 million over the seven to eight years required for the completion of all bond-financed projects. Additionally, Measure P, authorizing \$311 million was approved by voters in 2016 that enabled our district to implement many improvements including seismic upgrades, new athletic stadiums and added air conditioning and energy conservation improvements to all of the remaining Elementary Schools.





School Facilities

Continued from left

Bond proceeds, combined with state matching funds, are financing such wide-ranging school improvements as renovating electrical circuitry, plumbing, lighting, roofing, intercom and fire-safety systems; improving energy efficiency; replacing doors, windows, and outdated heating and ventilation systems; updating playground equipment and safety matting; upgrading telecommunications systems to accommodate current technology; replacing restroom plumbing, tile, and fixtures; retrofitting schools for better accessibility for the disabled; burying new underground conduits for technology growth; installing new classroom carpeting; repainting school interiors and exteriors; and new underground naturalgas piping.

We have completed air conditioning for classrooms in all 65 schools. All seven high schools have been completed. Throughout the district, modernization projects are on-time and on budget. All seven comprehensive high schools had LED field and tennis court lighting completed. We appreciate your patience as we work to ensure that all of our schools remain successful and comfortable places to learn and work.



Teacher Preparation and Placement

These tables display the number and percent of teacher authorization/assignment as well as the total number and percent of teaching positions at the school, district and state levels. For questions concerning the assignment of teachers outside their subject area of competence or the credential status of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

| Teacher Preparation and Placement | | | | 2020 | -21 School Year | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Authorization/Assignment | School Number | School Percent | District Number | District Percent | State Number | State Percent |
| Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned) | 23.9 | 96.0% | 1,441.7 | 83.1% | 228,366.1 | 83.1% |
| Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.5 | 0.0% | 4,205.9 | 1.5% |
| Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA) | 0.0 | 0.0% | 80.7 | 4.7% | 11,216.7 | 4.1% |
| Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA) | 0.0 | 0.0% | 8.5 | 0.5% | 12,115.8 | 4.4% |
| Unknown | 1.0 | 4.0% | 202.6 | 11.7% | 18,854.3 | 6.9% |
| Total Teaching Positions | 24.9 | 100.0% | 1,734.1 | 100.0% | 274,759.1 | 100.0% |

| Teacher Preparation and Placement | | | | | 2021-2 | 22 School Year |
|---|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Authorization/Assignment | School Number | School Percent | District Number | District Percent | State Number | State Percent |
| Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned) | 22.7 | 95.8% | 1,444.6 | 85.0% | 234,405.2 | 84.0% |
| Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned | 0.0 | 0.0% | 1.5 | 0.1% | 4,853.0 | 1.7% |
| Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA) | 0.0 | 0.0% | 67.7 | 4.0% | 12,001.5 | 4.3% |
| Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA) | 0.0 | 0.0% | 15.4 | 0.9% | 11,953.1 | 4.3% |
| Unknown | 1.0 | 4.2% | 170.3 | 10.0% | 15,831.9 | 5.7% |
| Total Teaching Positions | 23.7 | 100.0% | 1,699.6 | 100.0% | 279,044.8 | 100.0% |

| Teacher Preparation and Placement | | | | 2022 | -23 School Year | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Authorization/Assignment | School Number | School Percent | District Number | District Percent | State Number | State Percent |
| Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned) | 3.0 | 12.8% | 1,449.0 | 84.0% | 231,142.4 | 83.2% |
| Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 5,566.4 | 2.0% |
| Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA) | 0.0 | 0.0% | 21.8 | 1.3% | 14,938.3 | 5.4% |
| Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA) | 0.0 | 0.0% | 81.3 | 4.7% | 11,746.9 | 4.2% |
| Unknown | 20.5 | 87.2% | 172.5 | 10.0% | 14,303.8 | 5.2% |
| Total Teaching Positions | 23.5 | 100.0% | 1,724.7 | 100.0% | 277,697.8 | 100.0% |

Note: The data in these tables is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsupdflash201.asp.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.



Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of authorization/assignments of teachers with permits and waivers; misassignments; and vacant positions. For questions concerning the permits, waivers, and misassignment of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

| Teachers Without Credentials and Misassig | Thi | ree-Year Data | |
|--|---------|---------------|---------|
| Authorization/Assignment | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| Permits and Waiver | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Misassignments | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vacant Positions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of credentialed teachers authorized on a permit or waiver and local assignment options. For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing's Administrator's Assignment Manual at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/manuals.

| Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Fie | Thi | ree-Year Data | |
|--|---------|---------------|---------|
| Indicator | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Local Assignment Options | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Out-of-Field Teachers | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Class Assignments

This table displays the percentage of misassignments of English learners and teachers with no credential, permit or authorization to teach. Misassignment and vacant teacher position data should be available in the district's personnel office.

| Class Assignments | Thi | ree-Year Data | |
|--|---------|---------------|---------|
| Indicator | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned) | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach) | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsup-

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https:// www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data

| 2023-24 School Year | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|
| | Ratio | | |
| Pupils to Academic counselors | | | |
| Support Staff | FTE | | |
| Counselor (academic, social/behavioral or career development) | 0.0 | | |
| Library media teacher (librarian) | 0.0 | | |
| Library media services staff (paraprofessional) | 0.0 | | |
| Psychologist | 0.5 | | |
| Social worker | 0.5 | | |
| Nurse/health assistant | 1.0 | | |
| Speech/language/hearing specialist | 0.5 | | |
| Resource specialist (nonteaching) | 0.0 | | |
| ♦ Not applicable. | | | |



Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2022-23 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

| Salary Data | | 2022-23 Fiscal Year |
|---|------------------|------------------------|
| | Garden Grove USD | Similar Sized District |
| Beginning teacher salary | \$69,358 | \$56,572 |
| Midrange teacher salary | \$112,263 | \$87,185 |
| Highest teacher salary | \$135,489 | \$119,664 |
| Average elementary school principal salary | \$171,982 | \$148,486 |
| Average middle school principal salary | \$172,905 | \$154,835 |
| Average high school principal salary | \$205,644 | \$170,007 |
| Superintendent salary | \$354,528 | \$338,699 |
| Teacher salaries: percentage of budget | 30.00% | 31.41% |
| Administrative salaries: percentage of budget | 4.00% | 4.86% |

Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

| Financial Data Comparison | | 2022-23 Fiscal Year |
|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources | Annual Average Teacher Salary |
| Eisenhower ES | \$11,195 | \$118,590 |
| Garden Grove USD | \$11,706 | \$114,799 |
| California | \$10,771 | \$94,625 |
| School and district: percentage difference | -4.4% | +3.3% |
| School and California: percentage difference | +3.9% | +25.3% |

Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education and school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountability, test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per Education Code Section 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1.

School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

| School Financial Data | |
|--|-----------|
| 2022-23 Fiscal Year | |
| Total expenditures per pupil | \$18,388 |
| Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources | \$7,193 |
| Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources | \$11,195 |
| Annual average teacher salary | \$118,590 |



Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

School Accountability Report Card

Published by:



All data accurate as of December 2024